

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/651,362	ENDO, HIROSHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

(2) Nick Bromer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2, 20, 25, 28, 31-32, 37, 39-40, 42-43

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6,757,367

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant agreed to amend claim 23 to include the limitations of claim 25 and amend claims 2, 20, 28, 31-32, 37, 39-40, and 42-43 to correct the informalities. See the examiner's amendment for details.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)